

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/673,963 | SCHEIBLE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sunray Chang | 2121 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: open

(1) Sunray Chang.

(3) _____.

(2) Laurence A Greenburg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005

Time: 16:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

No response has been filed after 05/11/2005 office action.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 11/17/2005

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)